

What Does “Good AML Data” Mean For AML Watcher?

- Is your AML data robust enough to eliminate false positives & negatives?
- Is your AML Compliance program under performing because of shortcomings in data quality?
- How well does your AML screening solution adapt to the growing complexity of global data requirements and regulatory demands?

Your AML screening solution is as promising as the weakest link in your data chain.

You May be Missing Clients due to a Lack of Quality AML Data

Current AML Data provider's have:

Limited Data Coverage in Low-populated Regions

Absence of PEP Level 4 Data coverage beyond high-profile PEPs

Inconsistent Watchlist Definitions that misses criminals/enforcements

Adverse Media Screening
language barriers or non-
traditional sources Gaps

Different PEP
Classification globally &
regionally

Missing Data on Leaders
of International
Organizations

Reliance on 3rd party data
means little to no control
over update frequency.

Gaps in Global Sanctions Lists and contextual
relevance to conflicting sanctioning regimes

What Makes Our Data Accurate and Precise?

AML Watcher addresses these gaps and inefficiencies that legacy AML data providers overlook.

- ✓ **Comprehensive Global Coverage for AML Compliance**
Monitors both high-risk and less-populated areas, ensuring that no jurisdiction is overlooked.
- ✓ **Complete PEP Data: Leaving No Risk Unmonitored**
Tracks Politically Exposed Persons (PEPs) across all levels, from top officials to lower-risk individuals.
- ✓ **Real-Time Data Updates for Immediate Protection**
Constantly refreshed data ensures immediate updates on sanctions and flagged PEPs.
- ✓ **Standardized Watchlists for Consistent Compliance**
Provides globally aligned watchlists to ensure compliance, no matter where the risk originates.

Revamp AML Screening Approach

AML Watcher Vs Legacy
AML Solutions

44%

Lesser False
Positives

15%

Lesser False
Negatives

11%

Less Confused
Matches

11%

Higher Exact
Matches